



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/581,893

09/29/2006

Daniel Kopf

120391

8707

25944

7590

09/26/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

HAGAN, SEAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2828

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/26/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/581,893	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOPF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SEAN HAGAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2828	

**All Participants:**

(1) SEAN HAGAN.

(2) Kevin Gualano.

**Date of Interview:** 23 September 2008

**Status of Application:** After final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:45pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection of claim 1*

Claims discussed:

*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Minsun Harvey/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Final rejection dated 31 March 2008 and all previous actions are noted to not address all claim limitations through an error on the examiner's part. This situation was brought to examiner's attention in a brief conference on 26 June 2008 and applicants were instructed that the situation could be resolved through submission of an after-final response simply noting the examiner's error. Applicants submitted a response on 30 June 2008, but due delays in processing, concern arose regarding the eventual status of the application. This interview summary is to confirm that the finality of the case can NOT be maintained and examiner MUST rescind finality. .